arXiv:1601.07044v2 [math.PR] 6 Mar 2016

Darning and gluing of diffusions

WOLFHARD HANSEN

Abstract

We study darning of compact sets (darning and gluing of finite unions of compact sets), which are not thin at any of their points, in a potential-theoretic framework which may be described, analytically, in terms of harmonic kernels/harmonic functions or, probabilistically, in terms of a diffusion.

This is accomplished without leaving our kind of setting so that the procedure can be iterated without any problem. It applies to darning and gluing of compacts in Euclidean spaces (manifolds) of different dimensions, which is of interest pertaining to recent studies on heat kernels.

Keywords: Diffusion; Brownian motion; harmonic function; harmonic kernel; darning; gluing; stable compact.

MSC: 60J60, 60J45, 60J65, 31A05, 31D05.

1 Bauer diffusions and harmonic kernels

Darning of holes for symmetric Hunt processes by Dirichlet forms has been introduced in [5] and used in [6, 7]. In this paper, we shall study darning of compacts (darning and gluing of finite unions of compacts), which are not thin at any of their points, in a potential-theoretic framework which may be described, analytically, in terms of harmonic kernels/harmonic functions or, probabilistically, in terms of a diffusion.[1] We intend to accomplish this without leaving our kind of setting (for simplicity, we assume that we have a base of regular sets and the constant function 1 is harmonic) so that the procedure can be iterated without any problem. Our construction is of particular interest with regard to recent studies of heat kernels.

EXAMPLE 1.1. *A basic example to start with is a direct (topological) sum X of open sets $X_1, \ldots, X_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where each X_j is a subset of some $\mathbb{R}^{d_j}$, $d_j \in \mathbb{N}$, equipped with the classical harmonic structure (Brownian motion) of dimension d_j, and $K = K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_m$, each K_j being a compact (in the simplest case, a ball) in X_j. The aim is to identify K with a single point x_0 and to define a harmonic structure (a diffusion) on the new space such that, restricting on sets away from K, we get what we had there before.*

In the following, let X be a locally compact (Hausdorff) space with countable base. Let us state right away that X should also be locally connected; in fact, this

[1] The author had the pleasure to listen to a talk by Zhen-Qing Chen on "Browian motion on spaces with varying dimensions" (University of Bielefeld, February 2014) which motivated him to try an approach not using Dirichlet forms. Having written most of this manuscript the author learnt that darning is also discussed in [4] and will be the subject of [8].

is a consequence of (H_4') below (see [2, Satz 1.1.10]). Let $\mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_c$) denote the family of all open (resp. relatively compact open) sets in X. For $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $\mathcal{V}(U)$ denote the set of all $W \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\overline{W} \subset U$.

Further, let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the set of all Borel sets in X and let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of all measures on $(X, \mathcal{B})$. For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $\mathcal{B}(U)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(U)$) be the set of all $\mathcal{B}$-measurable (resp. continuous real) functions on U, and let $\mathcal{C}_0(U)$ be the set of all functions in $\mathcal{C}(U)$ which tend to zero at ∂U. As usual, given a set $\mathcal{F}$ of functions, let $\mathcal{F}^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_b$) denote the set of all functions in $\mathcal{F}$ which are positive (resp. bounded). Sometimes we shall tacitly identify functions on subsets A of X with functions on X taking the value 0 on $X \setminus A$.

A *kernel on* X is a mapping $T\colon X \times \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$ such that $T(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$, $x \in X$, and the functions $x \mapsto T(x, B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, are $\mathcal{B}$-measurable. For every kernel T on X, we define

$$Tf(x) := \int f(y)\, T(x, dy),$$

whenever $f \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x \in X$, and the integral makes sense. For kernels S, T on X, the kernel ST is defined by $(ST)(x, \cdot) := \int T(y, \cdot)\, S(x, dy)$, that is,

$$(ST)f = S(Tf), \qquad f \in \mathcal{B}^+(X).$$

A kernel T on X is called a *boundary kernel for* $U \in \mathcal{U}$ provided $T(x, X \setminus \partial U) = 0$ for every $x \in U$ and $T(x, \cdot) = \varepsilon_x$ (Dirac measure at x) for every $x \in U^c$.

EXAMPLE 1.2. *If $\mathfrak{X} = (\Omega, X_t, \theta_t, \mathbb{P}^x)$ is a diffusion on X (that is, a Hunt process on X with continuous trajectories $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$), the* exit kernels *H_U, $U \in \mathcal{U}$, given by*

$$H_U(x, B) := \mathbb{P}^x[X_{\tau_U} \in B, \tau_U < \infty], \qquad x \in X, B \in \mathcal{B}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\tau_U := \inf\{t \geq 0\colon X_t \in U^c\}$ denotes the exit time of U*, are boundary kernels.*

These kernels are compatible, that is,

$$H_V H_U = H_U \qquad \text{if } V, U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } V \subset U, \tag{1.2}$$

because of the trivial identity $\tau_U = \tau_V + \tau_U \circ \theta_{\tau_V}$ and the strong Markov property. If $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ are disjoint, then obviously $H_V(x, \cdot) = H_{U \cup V}(x, \cdot)$ for every $x \in V$. Let

$$p_U(x) := \mathbb{E}^x \tau_U, \qquad x \in U \in \mathcal{U}.$$

If $p_U(x) < \infty$, then, of course, $H_U(x, X) = \mathbb{P}^x[\tau_U < \infty] = 1$.

DEFINITION 1.3. *A diffusion $\mathfrak{X}$ on X is called a* Bauer diffusion*, if there exists a base $\mathcal{V}$ for the topology of X such that for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ the following holds.*

(D_1) *The set V is relatively compact and $p_V \in \mathcal{C}_0(V)$.*

(D_2) *For all $z \in \partial V$ and neighborhoods W of z, $\lim_{x \to z} H_V(x, W^c) = 0$, and $(H_V f)|_V \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(X)$.*

For an analytic discussion we shall consider a Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels (cf. [3, VIII.1]). For the moment, let us assume we have a base $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}_c$ for the topology of X and, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, a boundary kernel H_V. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be a *local choice from* $\mathcal{V}$ *in* U, that is, a mapping $\mathcal{W}\colon x \mapsto \mathcal{W}(x)$, $x \in U$, where each $\mathcal{W}(x)$ is a fundamental system of neighborhoods $W \in \mathcal{V}(U)$ of x. Then a lower semicontinuous function $u\colon U \to]-\infty, \infty]$ is called $\mathcal{W}$*-hyperharmonic on* U if

$$H_W u(x) \le u(x) \qquad \text{for all } x \in U \text{ and } W \in \mathcal{W}(x),$$

and the set of all $\mathcal{W}$-hyperharmonic functions on U is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$.

If $\mathcal{W}(x)$ is the set of *all* $W \in \mathcal{V}(V)$ such that $x \in W$, we drop the subscript $\mathcal{W}$ and have the set $\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ of *hyperharmonic functions on* U. The set of all *harmonic functions on* U is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(U) := \{h \in \mathcal{C}(U)\colon H_V h = h \text{ for } V \in \mathcal{V}(U)\} = \mathcal{H}^*(U) \cap (-\mathcal{H}^*(U)). \tag{1.3}$$

DEFINITION 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}_c$ be a base for the topology of X and let H_V, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, be boundary kernels for V. Then the family $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ is a* normal[2] Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels on X *provided the following holds for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$.*

(H_1) *If $W \in \mathcal{V}$ and $W \subset V$, then $H_W H_V = H_V$.*

(H_2) *For every $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, $H_V f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, and $H_V 1 = 1$.*

(H_3) *There exists a local choice $\mathcal{W}$ from $\mathcal{V}$ on V such that ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(V)$ separates the points of V.*

(H_4) *For all $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(X)$, the function $H_V f$ is continuous on V.*

Having Corollary 1.7 below it is easily established that (H_4) amounts to the *Bauer convergence property*:

(H_4') For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and every bounded sequence (h_n) in $\mathcal{H}(U)$ which is increasing, $\sup h_n \in \mathcal{H}(U)$.

For a converse of the next result see Theorem 1.9.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be Bauer diffusion on X and $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}_c$ be a base for the topology of X such that the exit kernels H_V, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, satisfy (D_1) and (D_2). Then, for all $U, V \in \mathcal{V}$ with $\overline{U} \subset V$,*

$$p_V, H_U p_V \in \mathcal{H}^*(V) \quad \text{and} \quad p_V - H_U p_V = p_U > 0 \text{ on } U. \tag{1.4}$$

In particular, $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ is a normal Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels.

Proof. Clearly, (H_1), (H_2) and (H_4) hold. Let us fix $U, V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\overline{U} \subset V$. If $x \in W \in \mathcal{V}(V)$, then, by the strong Markov property,

$$H_W p_V(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[\mathbb{E}^{X_{\tau_W}} \tau_V] = \mathbb{E}^x(\tau_V \circ \theta_{\tau_W}) = \mathbb{E}^x(\tau_V - \tau_W) = p_V(x) - p_W(x).$$

[2]The attribute"normal" refers to $H_V 1 = 1$.

Therefore $p_V \in \mathcal{H}^*(V)$ and (taking $W = U$),

$$H_U p_V(y) = \mathbb{E}^y(\tau_V - \tau_U) = p_V(y) - p_U(y) \qquad \text{for every } y \in V \tag{1.5}$$

(the equality holds trivially for $y \in V \setminus U$). So, by the strong Markov property,

$$H_W H_U p_V(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[\mathbb{E}^{X_{\tau_W}}(\tau_V - \tau_U)] = \mathbb{E}^x(\tau_V - \tau_W) - \mathbb{E}^x(\tau_U \circ \theta_{\tau_W})$$

for all $x \in W \in \mathcal{V}(V)$. Since $\tau_U \leq \tau_W + \tau_U \circ \theta_W$, we see that $H_W H_U p_V(x) \leq H_U p_V(x)$. Knowing that $H_U p_V \in \mathcal{C}_0(V)$, by (H_2), we conclude that $H_U p_V \in \mathcal{H}^*(V)$.

Finally, let $x, y \in V$, $x \neq y$. We choose $U \in \mathcal{V}(V)$ such that $x \notin U$, $y \in U$. Then $H_U p_V(x) = p_V(x)$ and $H_U p_V(y) < p_V(y)$, by (1.5). So $p_V(x) \neq p_V(y)$ or $H_U p_V(x) \neq H_U p_V(y)$. Thus (H_3) holds and the proof is finished. □

In the remaining part of this section we shall present some facts on harmonic kernels and harmonic functions which, in essence, are taken from [2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13] (the reader, who to some extent is familiar with general potential theory, may directly pass to the next section and return to this section as needed).

The weak separation property (H_3) is ideal for capturing examples (if U is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{W}(x)$ may consist of balls centered at x) and it is strong enough for a minimum principle (Proposition 1.6) which, having (H_1) and (H_2), implies that, in fact, $\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U) = \mathcal{H}^*(U)$ even for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and all local choices $\mathcal{W}$ from $\mathcal{V}$ on U (Corollary 1.7).

For the moment, let us only assume that $(H_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$ satisfies (H_1) and (H_2). Of course, $(H_V f)|_V \in \mathcal{H}(V)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ (even for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(X)$ provided (H_4) holds).

PROPOSITION 1.6. *Let $U \in \mathcal{U}_c$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be a local choice from $\mathcal{V}$ on U. Suppose that ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$ separates the points of U, and let $v \in \mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$ such that $\liminf_{x \to z} v(x) \geq 0$ for every $z \in \partial U$. Then $v \geq 0$ on U.*

Proof. Immediate consequence of Bauer's general minimum principle (see [1] and [2, p. 7 and Korollar 1.3.7]). □

COROLLARY 1.7. *Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and suppose that there exists a local choice $\mathcal{W}$ from $\mathcal{V}$ on U such that ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$ separates the points of U. Then, for* every *local choice $\mathcal{W}$ from $\mathcal{V}$ on U,*

$$\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U) = \mathcal{H}^*(U).$$

In particular, ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ separates the points of U.

Proof (cf. the proof of [2, Satz 1.3.8]). Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a local choice from $\mathcal{V}$ on U.

(a) We suppose first that ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$ separates the points of U. Let $u \in \mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}(U)$. There are functions $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{V})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $f_n \uparrow u$ on $\overline{V}$ as $n \to \infty$. For the moment, let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (H_1),

$$v_n := (u - H_V f_n)$$

satisfies $H_W v_n(x) \leq v_n(x)$ for all $x \in V$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}(x)$ with $\overline{W} \subset V$. Moreover, v_n is lower semicontinuous on $\overline{V}$ and $v_n = u - f_n \geq 0$ on ∂V. Hence $v_n \geq 0$ on V, by Proposition 1.6. Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain that $u - H_V u \geq 0$. So $u \in \mathcal{H}^*(U)$. In particular, ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ separates the points of U.

(b) Now let $\mathcal{W}$ be an arbitrary local choice from $\mathcal{V}$ on U. Trivially $\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U)$, and we finally conclude, by (a), that $\mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U) = \mathcal{H}^*(U)$. □

From now on let us suppose that (H_1) – (H_4) are satisfied. By Corollary 1.7,(ii), we immediately obtain that $\mathcal{H} := \{\mathcal{H}(U)\colon U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a *sheaf of continuous functions on* X, that is, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, the set $\mathcal{H}(U)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}(U)$ and the following hold.

(i) If $U' \in \mathcal{U}$, $U' \subset U$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}(U)$, then $h|_{U'} \in \mathcal{H}(U')$.

(ii) If $U = \bigcup_{i\in I} U_i$, $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$, and $h \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ such that $h|_{U_i} \in \mathcal{H}(U_i)$ for every $i \in I$, then $h \in \mathcal{H}(U)$.

Moreover, for all $U \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, the function $H_U f$ is harmonic on U, by (H_1) and (H_2); by Proposition 1.6, it is the only function which is harmonic on U and equal to f on U^c.

A set $U \in \mathcal{U}_c$ is called ($\mathcal{H}$-)*regular*, if every function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial U)$ possesses a unique continuous extension $H_f^U \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{U})$ which is harmonic on U, and if $H_f^U \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{U}_r$ denote the family of all regular sets. By the preceding observation, $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}_r$ and, for all $U \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$,

$$H^U_{f|_{\partial U}} = H_U f \quad \text{ on } \overline{U}. \tag{1.6}$$

For every $U \in \mathcal{U}_r$, the mapping $f \mapsto H_f^U$ defines a boundary kernel which, in view of (1.6), may be denoted by H_U. By (i), it is trivial that $H_V H_U f = H_U f$ for all $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_r$ with $V \subset U$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Therefore

$$H_V H_U = H_U, \qquad \text{whenever } U, V \in \mathcal{U}_r \text{ and } V \subset U.$$

If $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_c$ are disjoint and $U_1 \cup U_2$ is regular, then obviously both U_1 and U_2 are regular and

$$H_{U_j}(x, \cdot) = H_U(x, \cdot) \qquad \text{for every } x \in U_j,\ j = 1, 2. \tag{1.7}$$

A set $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is called a $\mathcal{P}$-*set* if ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ separates the points of U (see Proposition 1.8 for the justification of the prefix "$\mathcal{P}$"). By Corollary 1.7, every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-set and the sets of functions $\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ and $\mathcal{H}(U)$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$, are not changed if we replace $\mathcal{V}$ by the family of *all* regular $\mathcal{P}$-sets.

Clearly, every open subset of a $\mathcal{P}$-set is a $\mathcal{P}$-set. Moreover, every union of two disjoint $\mathcal{P}$-sets is a $\mathcal{P}$-set.

For our darning we need the *barrier criterion for regular sets*. If $V \in \mathcal{U}_c$ and $\overline{V}$ is contained in a $\mathcal{P}$-set, then V is regular if (and only if), for every $z \in \partial V$, there exists an open neighborhood W_z of z and a strictly positive function $s \in \mathcal{H}^*(V \cap W_z)$ such that $\lim_{x\to z} s(x) = 0$ (see [2, Satz 4.3.3]). In Example 1.2, this means that $T_{V^c} := \inf\{t > 0\colon X_t \in V^c\} = 0$ $\mathbb{P}^z$-a.s. (see [3, VI.4.16]).

In particular, for any $\mathcal{P}$-set W, the intersection of any two regular sets with closure in W is regular. So our pair $(X, \mathcal{H})$ not only satisfies (i), (ii) and the Bauer convergence property holds, but there also exists a base $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ for $\mathcal{U}$ consisting of $\mathcal{H}$-regular sets which is stable under finite intersections, that is, $(X, \mathcal{H})$ is a *Bauer space* (see [9, §3.1] and [10, 7.1]).

It can be shown that, conversely, for any Bauer space $(X, \mathcal{H})$ with $1 \in \mathcal{H}(X)$, there exists a base $\mathcal{V}$ of regular sets such that the corresponding kernels H_V, $V \in \mathcal{V}$,

form a normal Bauer family of harmonic kernels such that, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{H}(U)$ is the set of all corresponding harmonic functions on U (cf. [3, Section VIII.1]).

We refer the reader to [3, Section VIII] and [10, Section 7.1] for examples given by

$$\mathcal{H}(U) := \{h \in \mathcal{C}(U)\colon Lh = 0\}, \qquad U \text{ open set in } X,$$

where L is a partial differential operator of second order on an open set X in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$. A function $s \in \mathcal{H}^*(U)$ is *superharmonic on* U if, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}(U)$, the function $H_V s$ is locally bounded on V (and hence, by (H_4), is harmonic on V). Obviously, every locally bounded hyperharmonic function on U is superharmonic. Let $\mathcal{S}(U)$ denote the set of all superharmonic functions on U.

A function $s \in \mathcal{S}^+(U)$ is called *potential on* U if 0 is the largest harmonic minorant of s on U. Let $\mathcal{P}(U)$ denote the set of all potentials on U. Every function $s \in \mathcal{S}^+(U)$ admits a unique decomposition $s = h + p$ such that $h \in \mathcal{H}^+(U)$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ (Riesz decomposition). A potential p on U is called *strict*, if any two measures μ, ν on U coincide provided $\int p\,d\mu = \int p\,d\nu < \infty$ and $\int v\,d\mu \le \int v\,d\nu$ for every $v \in {}^+\mathcal{H}^*(U)$. Of course, every strict potential is strictly positive. The following well known equivalences justify our notion of a $\mathcal{P}$-set.

PROPOSITION 1.8. *For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, the following properties are equivalent.*

- *U is a $\mathcal{P}$-set (that is, ${}^+\mathcal{H}^*(U)$ separates the points of U).*
- *There exists a potential $p > 0$ on U.*
- *There exists a strict continuous real potential on U.*

If $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p_U \in \mathcal{P}(U)$, $p_V \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, then p_U and p_V are called *compatible*, if the difference $p_U - p_V$ is harmonic on $U \cap V$.

Finally, let us suppose once more that, in Example 1.2, we have a Bauer diffusion $\mathfrak{X}$ and a base $\mathcal{V}$ such that the exit kernels H_V, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, satisfy (D_1) and (D_2). We know, by Proposition 1.5, that $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ is a normal Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels. Moreover, we now see that the functions $p_V \in \mathcal{H}^*(V) \cap C_0(V)$, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, are potentials which are compatible, since, by (1.4), $p_U - p_V = H_W(p_U - p_V)$ whenever $U, V, W \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\overline{W} \subset U \cap V$. It can be shown that every p_V is a strict potential (cf. [3, VI.7.8]).

By [11] in combination with [12], which provides a local characterization of the fine support of continuous real potentials, the following converse holds.

THEOREM 1.9. *Let $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ be a normal Bauer family of harmonic kernels on X and let $(p_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ be a compatible family of strict continuous real potentials (there always is such a family).*

Then there exists a unique (Bauer) diffusion $\mathfrak{X}$ on X such that, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, the kernel H_V is the exit kernel of V and $\mathbb{E}^x\tau_V = p_V(x)$, $x \in V$.

2 Darning of strongly stable compacts

Let $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ be a normal Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels on X (see Definition 1.4). A compact K in X which is contained in a $\mathcal{P}$-set is *stable* if, for

every $z \in \partial K$, there exists an open neighborhood W of z and a strictly positive function $s \in \mathcal{S}^+(W \setminus K)$ such that $\lim_{x\to z} s(x) = 0$ ($T_K = 0$ $\mathbb{P}^z$-a.s. for an associated diffusion).

DEFINITION 2.1. *We say that a compact $K \neq \emptyset$ is* strongly stable*, if it is contained in a $\mathcal{P}$-set W and there exists a strictly positive function $h \in \mathcal{H}(W \setminus K)$ which tends to zero at ∂K.*

Clearly, every finite union of pairwise disjoint strongly stable compacts is strongly stable. In classical potential theory of $\mathbb{R}^d$, a compact $K \neq \emptyset$ is strongly stable if and only if it is stable and has at most finitely many holes (that is, bounded connected components of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus K$). For a more general statement see Proposition 5.4.

Let us fix a strongly stable compact K in X. We define a new space X_0 identifying K with a point $x_0 \in K$, that is,

$$X_0 := \{x_0\} \cup (X \setminus K)$$

such that the topology of $X_0 \setminus \{x_0\}$ is the topology of $X \setminus K$ and the neighborhoods of x_0 have the form

$$\{x_0\} \cup (N \setminus K),$$

where N is a neighborhood of K in X.

Our aim is the construction of a normal Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels on X_0 containing the kernels H_V, $V \in \mathcal{V}(X \setminus K)$. We shall then say that it is obtained by darning of K (with $\{x_0\}$). Doing this for a union K of pairwise disjoint stable compacts $K_1, \dots, K_m$ we shall also speak of *darning and gluing of* $K_1, \dots, K_m$.

To that end we choose once and for all a regular $\mathcal{P}$-set W_0 with $K \subset W_0$ and

$$g := H_{W_0 \setminus K} 1_{\partial W_0} > 0 \quad \text{on } W_0 \setminus K. \tag{2.1}$$

Such a set exists. Indeed, let W be a $\mathcal{P}$-set containing K and $h \in \mathcal{H}(W)$, $h > 0$, such that h tends to zero at ∂K. Let us choose an open neighborhood W' of K which is relatively compact in W, and define $a := \inf\{h(x) \colon x \in \partial W'\}$,

$$W_0 := K \cup \{x \in W' \setminus K \colon h(x) < a/2\}.$$

Then both W_0 and $W_0 \setminus K$ are regular by the barrier criterion (for the points in ∂W_0 consider the function $(a/2) - h$). Obviously, $H_{W_0 \setminus K} 1_{\partial W_0} = (a/2)^{-1} h$ on W_0.

For $0 < r < 1$, let

$$A_r := \{x \in W_0 \setminus K \colon g(x) < r\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_r := \{x \in W_0 \setminus K \colon g(x) = r\}.$$

The sets A_r are regular, since, by the barrier criterion, also the points in S_r are regular points of A_r, $0 < r < 1$. The family of sets

$$U_r := \{x_0\} \cup A_r, \qquad 0 < r < 1,$$

is a fundamental system of open neighborhoods of x_0 such that $\partial U_r = S_r$. Given $0 < t < 1$ and a probability measure σ on A_t, we define

$$\sigma H_{A_t} := \int H_{A_t}(y, \cdot)\, d\sigma(y),$$

and note that $\sigma H_{A_t}(S_t) = r/t$ if σ is supported by S_r (see (3.2)).

Now let us specify the first of our main results (for its proof see Section 3).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let σ_r be probability measures on S_r, $0 < r < 1$, and*

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \{U_r \colon 0 < r < 1\} \cup \{V \in \mathcal{V} \colon \overline{V} \cap K = \emptyset\}.$$

(1) *The following two statements are equivalent.*

(a) *There exist boundary kernels H_{U_r}, $0 < r < 1$, such that $(H_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}_0}$ is a normal Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels on X (with an associated sheaf $\mathcal{H}_0$ of harmonic functions) and*

$$H_{U_t}(x_0, \cdot) = \sigma_t \qquad \text{for every } 0 < t < 1. \tag{2.2}$$

(b) *The probability measures σ_r are* compatible*, that is, for all $0 < r < t < 1$,*

$$\sigma_r H_{A_t} = (r/t)\sigma_t \quad \text{ on } S_t. \tag{2.3}$$

(2) *Suppose that (a) in (1) holds. Then, for all $0 < t < 1$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,*

$$H_{U_t}(y, B) = H_{A_t}(y, B \cap S_t) + (1 - (g(y)/t))\sigma_t(B), \quad y \in A_t. \tag{2.4}$$

The singleton $\{x_0\}$ is strongly stable and $\{x_0\} \cup (W_0 \setminus K)$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-set for $(X, \mathcal{H}_0)$. Further, for all open neighborhoods W of x_0 and $h \in \mathcal{C}(W)$ which are ($\mathcal{H}$-) harmonic on $W \setminus \{x_0\}$, the following properties are equivalent:

(a) *The function h is ($\mathcal{H}_0$-)harmonic on W.*

(b) *For every $0 < r < 1$ with $\overline{U}_r \subset W$, $\int h \, d\sigma_r = h(x_0)$.*

(c) *There exists $0 < r < 1$ with $\overline{U}_r \subset W$ and $\int h \, d\sigma_r = h(x_0)$.*

REMARK 2.3. For the moment, let us consider Example 1.1 and assume that the compacts $K_1, \ldots, K_m$ are closed balls having centers $x_1, \ldots, x_m$, respectively. Then it is easy to get compatible probability measures σ_r provided W_0 has been chosen to consist of open balls B_j in X_j which are centered at x_j, $1 \le j \le m$. Indeed, then each S_r, $0 < r < 1$, is a union of spheres $S_{j,r}$ contained in the shells $B_j \setminus K_j$, $1 \le j \le m$. Denoting the normed surface measures on $S_{j,r}$ by $\sigma_{j,r}$, it suffices to fix $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m = 1$ and to take

$$\sigma_r := \alpha_1 \sigma_{1,r} + \ldots \alpha_m \sigma_{m,r}, \qquad 0 < r < 1.$$

In the general case, the existence of compatible probability measures σ_r on S_r, $0 < r < 1$, follows from (H_1) and the weak compactness of probability measures on a compact set.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let (η_n) be a sequence in $(0, 1)$ which is strictly decreasing to zero. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let ν_n be a probability measure on S_{η_n}. Then there exists a subsequence of (ν_{n_k}) of (ν_n) such that, for every $0 < r < 1$, the probability measures $\sigma_{r,k}$ on S_r, defined by*

$$\sigma_{r,k} := (r/\eta_{n_k})(\nu_{n_k} H_{A_r})|_{S_r}, \qquad \text{if } \eta_{n_k} < r,$$

converge weakly to a probability measure σ_r as $k \to \infty$. The measures σ_r, $0 < r < 1$, are compatible.

So, by Theorem 2.2, a darning of K is always possible (we could take a Dirac measure on each S_{η_n}). However, looking at examples, where K is a disjoint union of compacts or contains holes, it is clear that some controlled choice of the measures ν_n is necessary to obtain what we really want (see the examples at the beginning of Section 5). Otherwise, it may happen that, for every $0 < r < t < 1$, the exit measure $H_{U_r}(x_0, \cdot) = \sigma_r$ charges only one of possibly many connected components of A_t. However, in an elliptic situation, we would ask for a darning such that the outcome is elliptic as well. And we may even desire some numerical control of the distribution of the measures $\sigma_r = H_{U_r}(x_0, \cdot)$ on various parts of S_r.

To achieve this, let us first observe that, for every $0 < t < 1$, every connected component V of A_t has boundary points both in K and in S_t. This implies the following.

LEMMA 2.5. *Each set A_t, $0 < t < 1$, has only finitely many connected components.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that we have $0 < t < 1$ such that A_t has countably many connected components $V_1, V_2, V_3, \ldots$. We may choose points $x_n \in V_n$ such that $g(x_n) = t/2$. Let (x_{n_k}) be convergent subsequence, $x := \lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n_k}$. Then $g(x) = t/2$, and hence $x \in V_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $k > k_0$, $x_{n_k} \in V_n$, and hence $V_{n_k} = V_n$. A contradiction. □

Let $\mathfrak{C}_0$ be the family of connected components of $W_0 \setminus K$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathfrak{C}_n$ denote the family of connected components of A_{η_n}. Obviously,

$$\bigcup_{V' \in \mathfrak{C}_n, V' \subset V} V' = V \cap A_{\eta_n} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } V \in \mathfrak{C}_{n-1}.$$

We successively choose $\alpha_V \in [0, 1]$ (if we wish, strictly positive) such that

$$\sum_{V \in \mathfrak{C}_0} \alpha_V = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{V' \in \mathfrak{C}_n, V' \subset V} \alpha_{V'} = \alpha_V \ \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},\ V \in \mathfrak{C}_{n-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, we fix probability measures ν_n on S_{η_n}, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\nu_n(V \cap S_{\eta_n}) = \alpha_V \qquad \text{for every } V \in \mathfrak{C}_{n-1} \tag{2.6}$$

(which is possible, since the sets $V \cap S_{\eta_n}$ are not empty).

Before writing down the consequences of these choices, let us recall that $(H_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$ is *elliptic* if one of the following equivalent statements holds.

- Every harmonic function $h \geq 0$ on a connected open set U is identically zero or strictly positive on U.
- Every hyperharmonic function $h \geq 0$ on a connected open set U is identically zero or strictly positive on U.
- For all connected regular sets U and $x \in U$, the support of $H_U(x, \cdot)$ is ∂U.

THEOREM 2.6. *The preceding choices yield compatible probability measures σ_r, $0 < r < 1$, such that (taking $\eta_0 := 1$)*

$$\sigma_r(V) = \alpha_V \qquad \text{for all } V \in \mathfrak{C}_n,\ n \geq 0, \text{ with } r < \eta_n.$$

In particular, $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}_0}$ is elliptic on X_0, if $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ is elliptic and all $\alpha_V > 0$.

Proof. Let r, n, V be as indicated and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\eta_{n_k} < r$. By induction, (2.5) and (2.6) imply that $\nu_{n_k}(V) = \alpha_V$. By (1.7),

$$\sigma_{r,k}(V \cap S_r) = (r/\eta_k)(\nu_{n_k}|_V)H_{A_r\cap V}(S_r) = \alpha_V,$$

since both $A_r \cap V$ and $A_r \setminus V$ are open sets. The proof is completed letting $k \to \infty$ (the sets $S_r \cap V$ and $S_r \setminus V$ are closed). □

Finally, we see that starting with a Bauer diffusion $\mathfrak{X}$ on X and an arbitrary neighborhood N of the strongly stable compact K, we may construct a darning of K that yields a Bauer diffusion $\mathfrak{X}_0$ on X_0 which, outside of N, is equivalent to $\mathfrak{X}$.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a Bauer diffusion on X, $\mathcal{V}$ is a base for the topology of X, and $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ is a family of exit kernels satisfying (D_1) and (D_2). As before, let $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}_0}$ be a Bauer family of harmonic boundary kernels on X_0 obtained by darning of the strongly stable compact K.*

Then, for every $0 < r < 1$, there exists a Bauer diffusion $\mathfrak{X}_0$ on X_0 such that, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}_0$, H_V is the exit kernel for V with respect to $\mathfrak{X}_0$ and the restrictions of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_0$ on $X \setminus (K \cup \overline{A}_r)$ have the same finite-dimensional distributions.

Proof. Let us recall that, for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(U) \cap C(U)$, there exists a unique kernel K_p on U such that $K_p 1 = p$ and, for every $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(U)$, $f \circ p := K_p f$ is a potential on U which is harmonic outside the support of f.

In the terminology of [11], $(p_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$ corresponds to some $M \in \Gamma^+(X, \mathcal{Q})$, a section in the sheaf of germs of potentials on X, which is strict. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ on X, $\varphi = 0$ on $K \cup A_{r/2}$, $\varphi = 1$ on $X \setminus (K \cup A_{3r/4})$, and let $M' := \varphi \circ M$, that is, M' is the section corresponding to $(\varphi \circ p_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}}$. Then $M' = 0$ on $K \cup A_{r/2}$, and we may consider M' as element of $\Gamma^+(X_0, \mathcal{Q}_0)$ which vanishes on $U_{r/2}$.

Further, let $q \in \mathcal{C}(U_r)$ be a strict potential on U_r (with respect to $(H_V)_{V\in\mathcal{V}_0}$), and let $M'' \in \Gamma^+(X_0, \mathcal{Q}_0)$ be the section corresponding to $\varphi_0 \circ q$, where $\varphi_0(x_0) := 1$ and $\varphi_0 := 1 - \varphi$ on $X \setminus K$. Then $M'' = 0$ on $X_0 \setminus U_r$ and $M_0 := M' + M'' \in \Gamma^+(X_0, \mathcal{Q}_0)$ is strict, by [12].

By Theorem 1.9, there exists a Bauer diffusion $\mathfrak{X}_0$ on X_0 corresponding to M_0. An application of results in [11], in particular Theorem 4.16, completes the proof. □

REMARK 2.8. In Example 1.1 we may achieve that the restrictions of $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_0$ on $X \setminus (K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_m)$ have the same finite-dimensional distributions provided each K_j is a closed ball in X_j with center x_j (we already observed in Remark 2.3 that then a straightforward choice of compatible probability measures σ_r, $0 < r < 1$, is possible; but this will be of no importance here).

Let $1 \leq j \leq m$, let $\mathcal{V}_j$ be the set of all open balls B with $\overline{B} \subset X_j$ and let p_V, $V \in \mathcal{V}_j$, be given by the expected exit times for Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^{d_j}$. Further,

let $\mathcal{W}_j$ denote the set of all $B \in \mathcal{V}_j$ with center x_j which contain K_j. If $\gamma_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small, then, for every $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$, there exist $W_j(\gamma) \in \mathcal{W}_j$ such that

$$p_{W_j(\gamma)} = \gamma \quad \text{ on } \partial K_j.$$

The sets

$$W(\gamma) := \{x_0\} \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{1 \le j \le m} (W_j(\gamma) \setminus K_j), \qquad 0 < \gamma < \gamma_0,$$

form a fundamental system $\mathcal{W}_0$ of open neighborhoods of x_0 in X_0 and the functions

$$p_{W(\gamma)}(x) := \begin{cases} \gamma, & x = x_0, \\ p_{W_j(\gamma)}(x), & x \in W_j(\gamma) \setminus K_j. \end{cases}$$

are continuous strict potentials on W_γ. Finally, let

$$\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{W}_0 \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{1 \le j \le m} \{V \in \mathcal{V}_j \colon V_j \cap K_j = \emptyset\}.$$

Then an application of Theorem 1.9 and results in [11] yields a Bauer diffusion $\mathfrak{X}_0$ on X_0 having the desired properties.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let us first note that, for all $0 < t < 1$ and $x \in A_t$,

$$H_{A_t}(x, S_t) = g(x)/t, \qquad H_{A_t}(x, \partial K) = 1 - H_{A_t}(x, S_t) = 1 - (g(x)/t). \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, for every $0 < r < t$ and every probability measure σ on S_r,

$$\sigma H_{A_t}(S_t) := \int H_{A_t}(x, S_t)\, d\sigma(x) = r/t. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. For the moment, let us fix $h \in \mathcal{C}(X_0)$ and $0 < t < 1$. Then $\lim_{x \in A_t, x \to z} h(x) = h(x_0)$ for every $z \in \partial K$. So, by (3.1), $h|_{A_t} \in \mathcal{H}(A_t)$ if and only if

$$h(x) = H_{A_t}(1_{S_t} h)(x) + (1 - t^{-1} g(x)) h(x_0) \qquad \text{for every } x \in A_t. \tag{3.3}$$

(1) Let us suppose now that (a) in (1) holds. Let $0 < t < 1$, $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and define $h := H_{U_t} f$. Then, by (2.2),

$$h(x_0) = \int f\, d\sigma_t.$$

Moreover, $h|_{A_t} \in \mathcal{H}_0(A_t) = \mathcal{H}(A_t)$, and hence (3.3) holds. Since $h = f$ on S_t, this proves (2.4).

Further, let us consider $0 < r < t < 1$. By (H_1), $H_{U_r} h = h$, and hence $h(x_0) = \int h\, d\sigma_r$, by (2.2). Integrating (3.3) with respect to σ_r we obtain that

$$h(x_0) = \int h\, d\sigma_r = \int 1_{S_t} f d(\sigma_r H_{A_t}) + (1 - (r/t)) h(x_0),$$

and therefore

$$\int (1_{S_t} f) d(\sigma_r H_{A_t}) = (r/t) h(x_0) = (r/t) \int f\, d\sigma_t.$$

Thus (2.3) holds.

Next let us suppose conversely that (b) holds. For $0 < t < 1$, we (have to) define boundary kernels H_{U_t} on X_0 by (2.4), that is, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(X)$, $H_{U_t}f = f$ on $X_0 \setminus U_t$ and

$$H_{U_t}f(x) = \begin{cases} \int f\,d\sigma_t, & x = x_0, \\ H_{A_t}(1_{S_t}f)(x) + (1 - (g(x)/t)) \int f\,d\sigma_t, & x \in A_t. \end{cases}$$

Then $H_{U_t}f$ is harmonic on A_t. For every $z \in \partial K$,

$$\lim_{x\to z} H_{A_t}(1_{S_t})(x) = \lim_{x\to z} g(x)/t = 0,$$

and hence $H_{U_t}f$ is continuous at x_0. Moreover, $H_{A_t}(1_{S_t}f)$ is continuous on A_t and, if f is continuous, tends to f at S_t. Therefore H_{U_t} satisfies (H_2) and (H_4).

If $0 < r < t$ and $h := H_{U_t}f$, then

$$H_{U_r}h(x_0) = \int h\,d\sigma_r = \sigma_r H_{A_t}(1_{S_t}f) + (1 - (r/t)) \int f\,d\sigma_t = \int f\,d\sigma_t = h(x_0),$$

by (2.3). So (H_1) holds.

Of course, $\{x_0\}$ is strongly stable, since g is a strictly positive harmonic function on $W_0 \setminus K$ and $\lim_{x\to x_0} g(x) = 0$. To prove that $U_1 := \{x_0\} \cup (W_0 \setminus K)$ is a $\mathcal{P}$-set, and hence (H_3) holds, we define a function w_0 on U_1 by $w_0(x_0) = 1$ and $w_0 = 1 - g$ on $W_0 \setminus K$ and consider

$$\mathcal{W}(x) := \begin{cases} \{U_r \colon 0 < r < 1\}, & x = x_0, \\ \{V \in \mathcal{V}(W_0 \setminus K) \colon x \in V\}, & x \in W_0 \setminus K. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $w_0 \in \mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U_1)$. Now let $x_1, x_2 \in U_1$, $x_1 \neq x_2$. Of course, $w_0(x_1) \neq w_0(x_2)$ unless $x_1, x_2 \in S_r$ for some $0 < r < 1$. So suppose that $0 < r < 1$ and $x_1, x_2 \in S_r$. By assumption, W_0 is a $\mathcal{P}$-set for $(X, \mathcal{H})$. Hence there exists a function $v \in {}^+\mathcal{H}^*(W_0)$ such that $v(x_1) \neq v(x_2)$. Without loss of generality $v \leq r$ (take $a > v(x_1) \wedge v(x_2)$ and replace v by $r \wedge (rv/a)$). Let us define a function w_1 on U_1 by $w_1(x_0) := 1$ and $w_1 := (w_0 + v) \wedge 1$ on $W_0 \setminus K$. Then $w_1 \in \mathcal{H}^*_{\mathcal{W}}(U_1)$. Since $w_0 = 1 - r$ on S_r and $v \leq r$, we conclude that $w_1(x_1) = (1 - r) + v(x_1) \neq (1 - r) + v(x_2) = w(x_2)$.

Finally, to prove the equivalence of (a), (b) and (c) in (2), let W be an open set in X_0 and $0 < t < 1$ such that $\overline{U}_t \subset W$. Moreover, let $h \in \mathcal{C}(W)$ such that $\int h\,d\sigma_t = h(x_0)$ and h is harmonic on $W \setminus \{x_0\}$. Then $h(x_0) = H_{U_t}h(x_0)$, by (2.2), and both h and $H_{U_t}h$ are harmonic on A_t. Therefore $H_{U_t}h = h$ also on A_t. In particular, $h|_{U_t} = (H_{U_t}h)|_{U_t} \in \mathcal{H}_0(U_t)$. So $h \in \mathcal{H}_0(W)$, by (ii). This shows that (c) implies (a). The implications $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$ are trivial. □

REMARK 3.1. It is a matter of taste if darning and gluing of pairwise disjoint strongly stable compacts $K_1, \ldots, K_m$ is done in one step or if, one at a time, each K_j is identified with a (stable) point x_j, and then gluing of the m points $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ (darning of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$) is performed.

4 Proof of Proposition 2.4

We begin with a simple observation based on (H_1).

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $0 < \eta < 1$ and let ν be any probability measure on S_η. Then the measures $\nu_r := (r/\eta)(\nu H_{A_r})|_{S_r}$, $\eta < r < 1$, are compatible probability measures.*

Proof. Let $\eta < r < t < 1$. By (3.2), ν_r is a probability measure. Since νH_{A_r} is supported by $K \cup S_r$ and $K \subset A_t^c$, we know that $((\nu H_{A_r})|_K)H_{A_t} = (\nu H_{A_r})|_K$, and hence $(\eta/r)\nu_r H_{A_t}|_{S_t} = (\nu H_{A_r}|_{S_r})H_{A_t}|_{S_t} = (\nu H_{A_r} H_{A_t})|_{S_t} = (\nu H_{A_t})|_{S_t} = (\eta/t)\nu_t$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.4. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, every sequence of probability measures on S_{η_m} contains a weakly convergent subsequence. The measures $(\eta_m/\eta_n)(\nu_n H_{A_{\eta m}})|_{S_{\eta m}}$, $n > m$, are probability measures, by Lemma 4.1. So an obvious diagonal procedure yields natural numbers $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ such that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the measures

$$\nu_{m,k} := (\eta_m/\eta_{n_k})(\nu_{n_k} H_{A_{\eta m}})|_{S_{\eta m}}, \qquad k > m,$$

weakly converge to a probability measure μ_m on S_{η_m} as $k \to \infty$.

Now let us fix $0 < r < t < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta_m < r$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$\sigma_{r,k} = (r/\eta_m)(\nu_{m,k} H_{A_r})|_{S_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{t,k} = (t/r)(\sigma_{r,k} H_{A_t})|_{S_t}.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ we obtain $\sigma_r = (r/\eta_m)(\mu_m H_{A_r})|_{S_r}$ and $\sigma_t = (t/r)(\sigma_r H_{A_t})|_{S_t}$. □

5 Appendix: Stable and strongly stable sets

It may be instructive to look first at some examples in $X := \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, for the classical case. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$x_n := (2^{-n}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad B_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon |x| < 2^{-(n+2)}\}, \quad A_n := \overline{B}_n \setminus B_{n+1}.$$

The set

$$K := \{0\} \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x_n + \overline{B}_n)$$

is strongly stable. Taking $W_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon |x| < 1\}$ and $g := H_{W_0 \setminus K} 1_{\partial W_0}$ the number of connected components of $A_r = \{0 < g < r\}$, $0 < r < 1$, increases to ∞ as $r \to 0$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$L_m := \{0\} \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{n \leq m} (x_n + A_n) \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{n > m} (x_n + \overline{B}_n)$$

is (still) strongly stable. However,

$$L := \{0\} \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x_n + A_n)$$

is stable, but not strongly stable, since $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus L$ has infinitely many connected components.

For the heat equation on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, there are no stable compacts $K \neq \emptyset$ (compacts K are thin at the points $(x,t) \in K$ with minimal t).

However, this does not mean that our assumptions only hold in the elliptic case. Consider, for example, the closed upper half space $X := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon x_d \geq 0\}$, $d \geq 2$, with Brownian motion on $X^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \colon x_d > 0\}$ which continues as Brownian

motion on the hyperplane $H := X \setminus X^+$ when exiting from X^+. By symmetry, it is easy to write down explicitly the corresponding harmonic kernels for the half balls

$$B_r^+(x) := \{y \in X \colon |y - x| < r\}, \qquad x \in H,\, r > 0,$$

using the Poisson integral for balls in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. The situation is not elliptic, since, for example, $H_{B_1^+(0)}(0, \cdot)$ is supported by $\{z \in H \colon |z| = 1\}$.

From now on, let us assume in this section that we have a normal Bauer family $(H_V)_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$ of harmonic boundary kernels on X which is elliptic. The proofs of Lemma 5.1, Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 5.3,(1) are strongly influenced by [14, Chapitre I,7].

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $x \in X$ and V be an open neighborhood of x. Then there exists a strongly stable compact neighborhood K of x in V.*

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that V is a connected regular set and there exists a strict potential $p \in \mathcal{C}_0(V)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ with compact support L in V such that $\varphi(x) > 0$. Then $q := \varphi \circ p \in \mathcal{C}_0(V)$, $q > 0$, and q is harmonic on $V \setminus L$. Let $0 < \alpha < \inf q(L)$ and define $K := \{x \in V \colon q(x) > \alpha\}$, $h := 1_{V \setminus K}(\alpha - q)$. □

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let L be a compact in a $\mathcal{P}$-set W. Then there exists a stable compact neighborhood K of L in W.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, for every $x \in L$, there exists a stable compact neighborhood L_x of x in W. There are $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in L$ such that L is covered by the open sets $\overset{\circ}{L}_j$, $1 \le j \le m$. The proof is completed taking $K := L_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{x_m}$. □

COROLLARY 5.3. *For every compact K in a $\mathcal{P}$-set W, there exists a regular neighborhood of K in W.*

Proof. Let W' be an open neighborhood of K with $\overline{W}' \subset W$. By Proposition 5.2, there exists a stable compact neighborhood L' of $\partial W'$ in $W \setminus K$. Obviously, $W' \setminus L'$ is a regular neighborhood of K in W. □

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let K be a stable compact in a $\mathcal{P}$-set W. Then K is strongly stable if and only if K has at most finitely many holes, that is, there are only finitely many connected components V of $W \setminus K$ which are relatively compact in W (or – equivalently – have a compact closure in X with $\partial V \subset K$).*

Proof. Suppose first that K has at most finitely many holes and let W' be obtained from W omitting one point from each hole. By Corollary 5.3, there is a regular neighborhood U of K in W'. Then ∂U intersects every connected component of $X \setminus K$. Hence $h := H_{U \setminus K} 1$ is strictly positive on $U \setminus K$ (and tends to zero at ∂K).

The converse follows by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.5. □

References

[1] H. Bauer. Šilovscher Rand und Dirichletsches Problem. *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble*, 11:89–136, XIV, 1961.

[2] H. Bauer. Harmonische Räume und ihre Potentialtheorie. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 22.* Springer, Berlin 1966.

[3] J. Bliedtner and W. Hansen. *Potential Theory – An Analytic and Probabilistic Approach to Balayage.* Universitext. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[4] Z.-Q. Chen. *Topics on recent developments in the theory of Markov processes.* Lectures at RIMS, Kyoto University, 2012.

[5] Z.-Q. Chen, M. Fukushima. *Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change, and Boundary Theory.* Princeton University Press, 2012.

[6] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima. Stochastic Komatu-Loewner equation and Brownian motion with darning in multiply connected domains. *Preprint 2013.* To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[7] Z.-Q. Chen, M. Fukushima and S. Rhode. Chordal Komatu-Loewner evolutions and BMD domain constant. *arXiv:1410.8257v1.*

[8] Z.-Q. Chen and S. Lou. Brownian motion on spaces with varying dimension. In preparation.

[9] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea. *Potential Theory on Harmonic Spaces.* Grundlehren d. math. Wiss. Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1972.

[10] A. Grigor'yan and W. Hansen. A Liouville property for Schrödinger operators. *Math. Ann.*, 312:659–716, 1998.

[11] W. Hansen. Perturbation of harmonic spaces and construction of semigroups. *Invent. Math.*, 19:149–164, 1973.

[12] W. Hansen. Some remarks on strict potentials. *Math. Z.*, 147:279–285N, 1976.

[13] W. Hansen. Modification of balayage spaces by transitions with applications to coupling of PDE's. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 169: 77–118, 2003.

[14] R.-M. Hervé. Recherches axiomatiques sur la théorie des fonctions surharmoniques et du potentiel. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 12:415–517, 1962.

Wolfhard Hansen, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany, e-mail: hansen@math.uni-bielefeld.de